

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/900,477	-	07/06/2001	Jung-Hong Kao	M-12276 US	4181		
33031	7590	06/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		HENSON ASCOLI	СНО, НО	CHO, HONG SOL			
BLDG. 4, SI		PRINGS RD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, T				2616			
				DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Campaign Campaign		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\$					
## Examiner Hong Cho 2616 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty A SHORTENDED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extendates of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 (380), in so event, however, may a reply be timely liked in the provision of 37 CFR 1 (380), in so event, however, may a reply be timely liked in the provision of 37 CFR 1 (380), in so event, however, may a reply be timely liked in the provision of 37 CFR 1 (380), in so event, however, may a reply be timely liked in the symbol of the communication. Fallulate to reply white the sold related prior like 17 (180), in so event, however, may a reply be timely liked. The mailing date of this communication is reply which the sold reply sheet the relating date of this communication. Fallulate to reply white the sold reply sheet the relating date of this communication. Fallulate the relating date of this communication, event further liked, may reduce any status part them deplanement. Set 37 CFR 1 (348). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 3-2-15 T, 18 and 29 Israe rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-2-15 T, 18 and 29 Israe rejected. 8) Claim(s) 3-2-15 T, 18 and 29 Israe rejected. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on									
Hong Cho 2616	Office Action Summary								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatheration of time maps be available under the provisions of 37 GPT 11300, in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. 3 MO gends for reply is specified above, the maximum stulation prainted with apply and will expire SN (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failur to reply within the set of excelled period for reply is specified above. The maximum stulation prainted with apply and will expire SN (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failur to reply within the set of excelled period for reply will, by station, cause the application (5) CS US C. § 130. Any reply received by the Coffice lister that this winds what the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seattle period for specific and the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seattle period for specific and so the mail and the communication of the set of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seattle period for specific and set of the communication. 5 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 Sfare pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is sfare withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-24.6 fand 19-21 is sfare elected. Claim(s) 1-25.7 file and 19-21 is sfare elected. The drawing(s) filed on septime set of the profit documents have been received in Applicatio	omee Housen Cummary								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. □ Exercisions of time rangle be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-130(a). In no event, however, many a reply be timely filled. □ Exercisions of time rangle be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-130(a). In no event, however, many a reply be timely filled. □ If NO period for reply in specified under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-130(b). In no event, however, many a reply be timely filled the communication of the provision of the second provision to the mainty date of this communication. Pallure to reply velotive them explainment. See 37 CFR 1-130(b). This action is FINAL. □ Mainty and the provision of the provision of a second provision of the provision o	The MAILING DATE of this communication a			 					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 cFt 1130(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 2IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failist or grow you will not set or extended period for rigid. In a well, apply and will capits SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failist or grow you will not set or extended period for rigid. Will by glatiles, cape the periodist or become aphathoches [20 th 5.0 § 13:3). Any reply received by the Ciffice later than these mostles after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed pattent to term sufficient many extended period for rigid. 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 30 November 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accoordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ② Claim(s) 1.22 (s/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ② Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ② Claim(s) 3.6.7-15.17. 18 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 3.6.7-15.17. 18 and 22 is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The proving subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.21(d) 11) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Period for Reply	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)♡ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) 1,2.4.6.16 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7)♡ Claim(s) 3,5.7-15, 17, 18 and 22 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1)□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)□ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S808) 4)□ Mortice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)□ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S808)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main three months. 	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi ute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12,4.6.16 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * O None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status								
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	November 2005.							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,	,							
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3.5.7-15. 17. 18 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·— · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
4)	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Oratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
6) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.16 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3.5.7-15. 17. 18 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rawn from consideration.							
7) Claim(s) 3.5.7-15. 17. 18 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some or None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		4							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Faper No(s)/Mail Date. 10) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some Ol None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Faper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	••								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			o by the Examiner						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		·							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1(d).					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	-								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ , , , ,								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			en received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• •	•	at received						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies fr	ot received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	_							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) Notice of	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the RCE filed on 11/30/2005. Claims 1-22 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yim (USPUB 2003/0206527) in view of Hluchyj et al (U.S 5426640), hereinafter referred to as Hluchyj.

Re claims 1, 20 and 21, Yim discloses a method for transmitting a data message from an originating node to a destination node by utilizing the monitored information on the available ring capacity and the data flow rate or traffic loading on each ring (a method for servicing transmit traffic in a node of a network, the network including a plurality of nodes connected by first and second rings formed by two or more transmission media,

Art Unit: 2616

paragraph [0005-0009], figure 3). Yim discloses a look-up table containing information about the number of ring links along which a data message (receiving a packet for routing to the network) has to travel along each ring between the nodes to reach its destination so that the shortest route for the data message can be determined (determining a shortest path to a destination node including identifying one of the first and second rings as being associated with the shortest path, paragraph [0021]). Yim discloses selecting another ring when one ring contains a lot of traffic and is congested (determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings, paragraph [0021]). Yim does not disclose using the transit delay data in determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings. Hluchyj discloses providing a source node with a packet containing a congestion level measured by the depth of transit queues (transit delay data) in each node along the path (column 4, lines 33-35; 38-42). Since Yim suggests measuring traffic loading based on the number of messages queued at each node for transmission, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teaching of Hluchyi in receiving a packet containing congestion level by measuring the depth of transit queues into Yim so that traffic on the congested ring would be lessened by routing packets to the other ring with less congestion.

Re claims 2 and 4, Yim discloses all of the limitations of the base claim, but fails to disclose determining transit delay data for the node, appending the transit delay data for the node to the received transit delay data and forwarding the transit delay data

Art Unit: 2616

including appended transit delay data to an upstream node. However, it is well known in the art that the overall transit delay data for a given time period along a path/route is measured by the summation of a transit delay data in each node. Hluchyj discloses determining transit delay data for the node (column 4, lines 38-42) and forwarding the transit delay data as indicated by a congestion level by summing changes of all the nodes traversed by a path at a given time (appending the transit delay data for the node to the received transit delay data and forwarding the transit delay data including appended transit delay data to an upstream node in the form of a plurality of vectors, column 3, lines 53-63). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teaching of Hluchyj in determining congestion level along a path by receiving an accumulated transit delay data from downstream nodes and forward the transit delay data to an upstream node to improve network utilization by implementing dynamic congestion control scheme.

Re claim 6, Yim discloses selecting another ring when one ring contains a lot of traffic and is congested (determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings, paragraph [0021]). Yim does not disclose determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings by using a latency metric, indicative of a delay between the node and the destination node. Hluchyj discloses providing a source node with a packet containing a congestion level (latency metric) measured by the depth of transit queues in each node along the path (indicative of a delay between the node and the destination node, column 4, lines 33-35; 38-42). It would have been

Art Unit: 2616

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teaching of Hluchyj in using a latency metric into Yim so that the latency metric would be used to select the other ring with less congestion for routing a packet.

The motivation is to have dynamic congestion control scheme implemented to improve network utilization.

Re claim 16, Yim discloses a look-up table containing information about the number of ring links (a hop count between the node and the given destination node for each of the first and second rings, paragraph [0021], lines 3-5), choosing the shortest route (a static ring selection based on the hop count, paragraph [0021], lines 3-5), and selecting less congested ring to route a packet (dynamic ring selection, paragraph [0021], lines 3-5). Yim discloses selecting another ring when one ring contains a lot of traffic and is congested (determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings, paragraph [0021]). Yim does not disclose using the transit delay data in determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings. Hluchyj discloses providing a source node with a packet containing a congestion level measured by the depth of transit queues (transit delay data) in each node along the path (column 4, lines 33-35; 38-42). Since Yim suggests measuring traffic loading based on the number of messages queued at each node for transmission, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teaching of Hluchyj in receiving a packet containing congestion level by measuring the depth of transit queues into Yim so that congested level information contained in a packet would be used in selecting the other ring with less congestion for routing a packet and thereby reduce network congestion and improve network utilization.

Re claim 19, Yim discloses the transit delay is measured by the amount of traffic in a transit buffer for a given node.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 5, 7-15, 17, 18 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/30/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On pages 9-11, applicants argue that Yim neither teaches nor suggests two related operations: 1) first identifying a ring based on a shortest path, and then 2) in response to identifying one of the first and second rings as being associated with the shortest path to the destination, determining if the identified ring is more congested than another ring.

In reply, the examiner believes that Yim discloses these two related operations by identifying one ring based on a shortest path by using a look-up table (paragraph [0021], lines 6-7), and then selecting another ring to route a packet, if the one ring that has been

identified as a shortest route is congested, by performing fault detection on a ring (paragraph [0025], lines 5-8).

Applicants further argue on page 12 that examiner failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness by stating that the examiner has not shown that there is some suggestion or motivation to combine Yim and Hluchyj since Yim alone already teaches using congestion information to select a ring. The examiner sees this argument as misplaced since the examiner provided suggestion or motivation to combine Yim and Hluchyj not in light of congestion but in light of transit delay data of Hluchyj being utilized as a mean of indicating congestion level by measuring the depth of transit queues. In addition, Yim suggests measuring traffic loading based on the number of messages queued at each node for transmission, which is recognized by the examiner as suggestion or motivation to combine Yim and Hluchyj.

Therefore, the Examiner concludes that the rejection of claims is proper.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.
The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,477 Page 8

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hong Cho Patent Examiner 5/25/2006

CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Char Ti Nfregue